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We survey equilibria and traveling waves of plane Couette flow in small periodic cells at moderate Reynolds number Re, adding in the process eight new equilibrium and two new traveling-wave solutions to the four solutions previously known. Bifurcations under changes of Re and spanwise period are examined. These non-trivial flow-invariant unstable solutions can only be computed numerically, but they are ‘exact’ in the sense that they converge to solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations as the numerical resolution increases. We find two complementary visualizations particularly insightful. Suitably chosen sections of their 3D-physical space velocity fields are helpful in developing physical intuition about coherent structures observed in moderate Re turbulence. Projections of these solutions and their unstable manifolds from ∞-dimensional state space onto suitably chosen 2- or 3-dimensional subspaces reveal their interrelations and the role they play in organizing turbulence in wall-bounded shear flows.

1. Introduction

In Gibson et al. (2008b) (henceforth referred to as GHC) we have utilized exact equilibrium solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for plane Couette flow in order to illustrate a visualization of moderate Re turbulent flows in an infinite-dimensional state space, in terms of dynamically invariant, intrinsic, and representation independent coordinate frames. The state space portraiture (figure 1) offers a visualization of numerical (or experimental) data of transitional turbulence in boundary shear flows, complementary to 3D visualizations of such flows (figure 2). Side-by-side animations of the two visualizations illustrate their complementary strengths (see Gibson (2008b) online simulations). In these animations, 3D spatial visualization of instantaneous velocity fields helps elucidate the physical processes underlying the formation of unstable coherent structures, such as the Self-Sustained Process (SSP) theory of Waleffe (1990, 1995, 1997). Running concurrently, the ∞-dimensional state space representation enables us to track unstable manifolds of equilibria of the flow, the heteroclinic connections between them (Halcrow et al. 2008), and gain new insights into the nonlinear state space geometry and dynamics of moderate Re wall-bounded shear flows such as plane Couette flow.

Here we continue our investigation of exact equilibrium and traveling-wave solutions of Navier-Stokes equations, this time tracking them adiabatically as functions of Re and periodic cell size \([L_x, 2L_z]\) and, in the process, uncovering new invariant solutions, and determining new relationships between them.

The history of experimental and theoretical advances is reviewed in GHC, Sect. 2; here we cite only the work on equilibria and traveling waves directly related to this investigation. Nagata (1990) found the first pair of nontrivial equilibria, as well as the first traveling wave in plane Couette flow (Nagata 1997). Waleffe (1998, 2003) computed
2. Plane Couette flow – a review

Plane Couette flow is comprised of an incompressible viscous fluid confined between two infinite parallel plates moving in opposite directions at constant and equal velocities, with no-slip boundary conditions imposed at the walls. The plates move along in the streamwise or $x$ direction, the wall-normal direction is $y$, and the spanwise direction is $z$. The fluid velocity field is $\mathbf{u}(x) = [u, v, w](x, y, z)$. We define the Reynolds number as $Re = Uh/\nu$, where $U$ is half the relative velocity of the plates, $h$ is half the distance between the plates, and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity. After non-dimensionalization, the plates are positioned at $y = \pm 1$ and move with velocities $\mathbf{u} = \pm 1 \mathbf{x}$, and the Navier-Stokes
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Figure 2. A snapshot of a typical turbulent state in a large aspect cell $[L_x, 2, L_z] = [15, 2, 15]$, $Re = 400$. The walls at $y = \pm 1$ move away/towards the viewer at equal and opposite velocities $U = \pm 1$. The color indicates the streamwise ($u$, or $x$ direction) velocity of the fluid: red shows fluid moving at $u = +1$, blue, at $u = -1$. The colormap as a function of $u$ is indicated by the laminar equilibrium in figure 4. Arrows indicate in-plane velocity in the respective planes: $[v, w]$ in $(y, z)$ planes, etc. The top half of the fluid is cut away to show the $[u, w]$ velocity in the $y = 0$ midplane. See Gibson (2008b) for movies of the time evolution of such states.

Equations are

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (2.1)
$$

We seek spatially periodic equilibrium and traveling-wave solutions to (2.1) for the domain $\Omega = [0, L_x] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, L_z]$ (or $\Omega = [L_x, 2, L_z]$), with periodic boundary conditions in $x$ and $z$. Equivalently, the periodicity of solutions can be specified in terms of their fundamental wavenumbers $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. A given solution is compatible with a given domain if $\alpha = mL_x/2\pi$ and $\gamma = nL_z/2\pi$ for integer $m, n$. In this study the spatial mean of the pressure gradient is held fixed at zero.

Most of this study is conducted at $Re = 400$ in one of the two small aspect-ratio cells:

$\Omega_{\text{W03}} = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 2\pi/2.5] \approx [5.51, 2, 2.51] \approx [190, 68, 86]$ wall units

$\Omega_{\text{HKW}} = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 2\pi/1.67] \approx [5.51, 2, 3.76] \approx [190, 68, 128]$ wall units \quad (2.2)

where the wall units are in relation to a mean shear rate of $\langle \partial u/\partial y \rangle = 2.9$ in non-dimensionalized units computed for a large aspect ratio simulation at $Re = 400$. Empirically, at this Reynolds number the $\Omega_{\text{HKW}}$ cell sustains turbulence for arbitrarily long times (Hamilton et al. 1995), whereas the $\Omega_{\text{W03}}$ cell (Waleffe 2003) exhibits only short-lived transient turbulence (GHC). Unless stated otherwise, all calculations are carried out for $Re = 400$ and the $\Omega_{\text{W03}}$ cell. In the notation of this paper, the Nagata (1990) solutions have wavenumbers $(\alpha, \gamma) = (0.8, 1.5)$ and fit in the cell $[2\pi/0.8, 2, 2\pi/1.5] \approx [7.85, 2, 4.18]$. Schmiegel (1999)’s study of plane Couette solutions and their bifurcations was conducted in the cell of size $\Omega = [4\pi, 2, 2\pi] \approx [12.57, 2, 6.28]$. We were not able to obtain data for Schmiegel’s solutions to make direct comparisons.

Although the cell aspect ratios studied in this paper are small, the $3D$ states explored by equilibria and their unstable manifolds explored here are strikingly similar to typical states in larger aspect cells, such as figure 2. Kim et al. (1971) observed that streamwise instabilities give rise to pairwise counter-rotating rolls whose spanwise separation is

† Note also that Reynolds number in Nagata (1990) is based on the full wall separation and the relative wall velocity, making it a factor of four larger than the Reynolds number used in this paper.
approximately 100 wall units. These rolls, in turn, generate streamwise streaks of high and low speed fluid, by convecting fluid alternately away from and towards the walls. The streaks have streamwise instabilities whose length scale is roughly twice the roll separation. These ‘coherent structures’ are prominent in numerical and experimental observations (see figure 2 and Gibson (2008) animations), and they motivate our investigation of how equilibrium and traveling-wave solutions of Navier-Stokes change with \(Re\) and cell size.

Fluid states are characterized by their energy \(E = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2\) and energy dissipation rate \(D = \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|^2\), defined in terms of the inner product and norm

\[
(u, v) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \, u \cdot v, \quad \|u\|^2 = (u, u). \tag{2.3}
\]

The rate of energy input is \(I = 1/(L_x L_z) \int dxdz \, \partial u/\partial y\), where the integral is taken over the upper and lower walls at \(y = \pm 1\). Normalization of these quantities is set so that \(I = D = 1\) for laminar flow and \(\dot{E} = I - D\). In some cases it is convenient to consider fields as differences from the laminar flow. We indicate such differences with hats: \(\hat{u} = u - y \hat{x}\).

3. Symmetries and isotropy subgroups

In an infinite domain and in the absence of boundary conditions, the Navier-Stokes equations are equivariant under any 3D translation, 3D rotation, and \(x \rightarrow -x, u \rightarrow -u\) inversion through the origin (Frisch 1996). In plane Couette flow, the counter-moving walls restrict the rotation symmetry to rotation by \(\pi\) about the \(z\)-axis. We denote this rotation by \(\sigma_z\) and inversion through the origin by \(\sigma_{xz}\). The \(\sigma_{xz}\) and \(\sigma_z\) symmetries generate a discrete dihedral group \(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_1 = \{e, \sigma_x, \sigma_z, \sigma_{xz}\}\) of order 4, where

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_x [u, v, w](x, y, z) &= [-u, -v, w](-x, -y, z) \\
\sigma_z [u, v, w](x, y, z) &= [u, v, -w](x, y, -z) \\
\sigma_{xz} [u, v, w](x, y, z) &= [-u, -v, -w](-x, -y, -z).
\end{align*}
\tag{3.1}
\]

The subscripts on the \(\sigma\) symmetries thus indicate which of \(x, z\) change sign. The walls also restrict the translation symmetry to 2D in-plane translations. With periodic boundary conditions, these translations become the \(SO(2)_x \times SO(2)_z\) continuous two-parameter group of streamwise-spanwise translations

\[
\tau(\ell_x, \ell_z)[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, w](x + \ell_x, y, z + \ell_z). \tag{3.2}
\]

The equations of plane Couette flow are thus equivariant under the group \(\Gamma = O(2)_x \times O(2)_z = D_{1,z} \rtimes SO(2)_x \times D_{1,z} \rtimes SO(2)_z\), where \(\rtimes\) stands for a semi-direct product, \(x\) subscripts indicate streamwise translations and sign changes in \(x, y\), and \(z\) subscripts indicate spanwise translations and sign changes in \(z\).

The solutions of an equivariant system can satisfy all of the system’s symmetries, a proper subgroup of them, or have no symmetry at all. For a given solution \(u\), the subgroup that contains all symmetries that fix \(u\) (that satisfy \(su = u\)) is called the isotropy (or stabilizer) subgroup of \(u\). (Hoyle 2006; Marsden & Ratiu 1999; Golubitsky & Stewart 2002; Gilmore & Letellier 2007). For example, a typical turbulent trajectory \(u(x, t)\) has no symmetry beyond the identity, so its isotropy group is \(\{e\}\). At the other extreme is the laminar equilibrium, whose isotropy group is the full plane Couette symmetry group \(\Gamma\).

In between, the isotropy subgroup of the Nagata equilibria and most of the equilibria
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reported here is \( S = \{ e, s_1, s_2, s_3 \} \), where

\[

c_1 [u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, -w](x + L_x/2, y, -z) \\
c_2 [u, v, w](x, y, z) = [-u, -v, w](-x + L_x/2, y, z + L_z/2) \\
c_3 [u, v, w](x, y, z) = [-u, v, -w](-x, -y, -z + L_z/2).
\]

These particular combinations of flips and shifts match the symmetries of instabilities of streamwise-constant streaky flow (Waleffe 1997, 2003) and are well suited to the wavy streamwise streaks observable in figure 2, with suitable choice of \( L_x \) and \( L_z \). But \( S \) is one choice among a number of intermediate isotropy groups of \( \Gamma \), and other subgroups might also play an important role in the turbulent dynamics. In this section we provide a partial classification of the isotropy groups of \( \Gamma \), sufficient to classify all currently known invariant solutions and to guide the search for new solutions with other symmetries. We focus on isotropy groups involving at most half-cell shifts. The main result is that among these, up to conjugacy in spatial translation, there are only five isotropy groups in which we should expect to find equilibria.

3.1. Flips and half-shifts

A few observations will be useful in what follows. First, we note the key role played by the inversion and rotation symmetries \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_z \) (3.1) in the classification of solutions and their isotropy groups. The equivariance of plane Couette flow under continuous translations allows for traveling-wave solutions, i.e., solutions that are steady in a frame moving with a constant velocity in \([x, z]\). In state space, traveling waves either trace out circles or wind around tori, and these sets are both continuous-translation and time invariant. The sign changes under \( \sigma_x, \sigma_z, \) and \( \sigma_{xz} \), however, imply particular centers of symmetry in \( x, z \), and both \( x \) and \( z \), respectively, and thus fix the translational phases of fields that are fixed by these symmetries. Thus the presence of \( \sigma_x \) or \( \sigma_z \) in an isotropy group prohibits traveling waves in \( x \) or \( z \), and the presence of \( \sigma_{xz} \) prohibits any form of traveling wave. Guided by this observation, we will seek equilibria only for isotropy subgroups that contain the \( \sigma_{xz} \) inversion symmetry.

Second, the periodic boundary conditions impose discrete translation symmetries of \( \tau(L_x, 0) \) and \( \tau(0, L_z) \) on velocity fields. In addition to this full-period translation symmetry, a solution can also be fixed under a rational translation, such as \( \tau(mL_x/n, 0) \) or a continuous translation \( \tau(\ell_x, 0) \). If a field is fixed under continuous translation, it is constant along the given spatial variable. If it is fixed under rational translation \( \tau(mL_x/n, 0) \), it is fixed under \( \tau(mL_x/n, 0) \) for \( m \in [1, n - 1] \) as well, provided that \( m \) and \( n \) are relatively prime.

For this reason the subgroups of the continuous translation \( SO(2)_x \) consist of the discrete cyclic groups \( C_{n,x} \) for \( n = 2, 3, \ldots \) together with the trivial subgroup \{e\} and the full group \( SO(2)_x \) itself, and similarly for \( z \). For rational shifts \( \ell_x/L_x = m/n \) we simplify the notation a bit by rewriting (3.2) as

\[
\tau_x^{m/n} = \tau(mL_x/n, 0), \quad \tau_z^{m/n} = \tau(0, mL_z/n).
\]

Since \( m/n = 1/2 \) will loom large in what follows, we omit exponents of 1/2:

\[
\tau_x = \tau_x^{1/2}, \quad \tau_z = \tau_z^{1/2}, \quad \tau_{xz} = \tau_x \tau_z.
\]
in the full \([L_x, 2, L_z]\) cell. For example, if EQ is an equilibrium solution in the \(\Omega_{1/3} = [L_x/3, 2, L_z]\) cell, we refer to the same solution repeated thrice in \(\Omega = [L_x, 2, L_z]\) as “spanwise-tripled” or \(3 \times \) EQ. Such solution is by construction fixed under the \(C_{3,x} = \{e, \tau_x^{1/3}, \tau_x^{2/3}\}\) subgroup.

Third, some isotropy groups are conjugate to each other under symmetries of the full group \(\Gamma\). Subgroup \(S'\) is conjugate to \(S\) if there is an \(s \in \Gamma\) for which \(S' = s^{-1}Ss\). In spatial terms, two conjugate isotropy groups are equivalent to each other under a coordinate transformation. A set of conjugate isotropy groups forms a conjugacy class. It is necessary to consider only a single representative of each conjugacy class; solutions belonging to conjugate isotropy groups can be generated by applying the symmetry operation of the conjugacy.

In the present case conjugacies under spatial translation symmetries are particularly important. Note that \(O(2)\) is not an abelian group, since reflections \(\sigma\) and translations \(\tau\) along the same axis do not commute (Harter 1993). Instead we have \(\sigma\tau = \tau^{-1}\sigma\). Rewriting this relation as \(\sigma\tau^2 = \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau\), we note that

\[
\sigma_x \tau_x(\ell_x, 0) = \tau^{-1}(\ell_x/2, 0) \sigma_x \tau(\ell_x/2, 0). \tag{3.6}
\]

The right-hand side of (3.6) is a similarity transformation that translates the origin of coordinate system. For \(\ell_x = L_x/2\) we have

\[
\tau_x^{-1/4} \sigma_x \tau_x^{1/4} = \sigma_x \tau_x, \tag{3.7}
\]

and similarly for the spanwise shifts / reflections. Thus for each isotropy group containing the shift-reflect \(\sigma_x \tau_x\) symmetry, there is a simpler conjugate isotropy group in which \(\sigma_x \tau_x\) is replaced by \(\sigma_x\) (and similarly for \(\sigma_x \tau_z\) and \(\tau_z\)). We choose as the representative of each conjugacy class the simplest isotropy group, in which all such reductions have been made. However, if an isotropy group contains both \(\sigma_x\) and \(\sigma_x \tau_x\), it cannot be simplified this way, since the conjugacy simply interchanges the elements.

Fourth, for \(\ell_x = L_x\), we have \(\tau_x^{-1} \sigma_x \tau_x = \sigma_x\), so that, in the special case of half-cell shifts, \(\sigma_x\) and \(\tau_x\) commute. For the same reason, \(\sigma_z\) and \(\tau_z\) commute, so the order-16 isotropy subgroup

\[
G = D_{1,x} \times C_{2,x} \times D_{1,z} \times C_{2,z} \subset \Gamma \tag{3.8}
\]

is abelian.

3.2. The 67-fold path

We now undertake a partial classification of the lattice of isotropy subgroups of plane Couette flow. We focus on isotropy groups involving at most half-cell shifts, with \(SO(2)_x \times SO(2)_z\) translations restricted to order 4 subgroup of spanwise-streamwise translations (3.5) of half the cell length,

\[
T = C_{2,x} \times C_{2,z} = \{e, \tau_x, \tau_z, \tau_{xz}\}. \tag{3.9}
\]

All such isotropy subgroups of \(\Gamma\) are contained in the subgroup \(G\) (3.8). Within \(G\), we look for the simplest representative of each conjugacy class, as described above.

Let us first enumerate all subgroups \(H \subset G\). The subgroups can be of order \(|H| = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16\}\). A subgroup is generated by multiplication of a set of generator elements, with the choice of generator elements unique up to a permutation of subgroup elements. A subgroup of order \(|H| = 2\) has only one generator, since every group element is its own inverse. There are 15 non-identity elements in \(G\) to choose from, so there are 15 subgroups of order 2. Subgroups of order 4 are generated by multiplication of two group elements. There are 15 choices for the first and 14 choices for the second. However, each order-4
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Subgroup can be generated by 3 · 2 different choices of generators. For example, any two of \( \tau_x, \tau_z, \tau_{xz} \) in any order generate the same group \( T \). Thus there are \((15 \cdot 14)/(3 \cdot 2) = 35 \) subgroups of order 4.

Subgroups of order 8 have three generators. There are 15 choices for the first generator, 14 for the second, and 12 for the third. There are 12 choices for the third generator and not 13, since if it were chosen to be the product of the first two generators, we would get a subgroup of order 4. Each order-8 subgroup can be generated by \( 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \) different choices of three generators, so there are \((15 \cdot 14 \cdot 12)/(7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4) = 15 \) subgroups of order 8. In summary: there is the group \( G \) itself, of order 16, 15 subgroups of order 8, 35 of order 4, 15 of order 2, and 1 (the identity) of order 1, or 67 subgroups in all (Halcrow 2008). This is whole lot of isotropy subgroups to juggle; fortunately, the observations of §3.1 show that there are only 5 distinct conjugacy classes in which we can expect to find equilibria.

The 15 order-2 groups fall into 8 distinct conjugacy classes, under conjugacies between \( \sigma_x \tau_x \) and \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_x \tau_z \) and \( \sigma_x \). These conjugacy classes are represented by the 8 isotropy groups generated individually by the 8 generators \( \sigma_x, \sigma_z, \sigma_{xz}, \sigma_x \tau_x, \tau_x, \tau_z, \) and \( \tau_{xz} \). Of these, the latter three imply periodicity on smaller domains. Of the remaining five, \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_x \tau_z \) allow traveling waves in \( z \), \( \sigma_z \) and \( \sigma_z \tau_x \) allow traveling waves in \( x \). Only a single conjugacy class, represented by the isotropy group

\[
\{ e, \sigma_{xz} \},
\]

(3.10)

breaks both continuous translation symmetries. Thus, of all order-2 isotropy groups, we expect only this group to have equilibria. EQ9, EQ10, and EQ11 described below are examples of equilibria with isotropy group \( \{ e, \sigma_{xz} \} \).

Of the 35 subgroups of order 4, we need to identify those that contain \( \sigma_{xz} \) and thus support equilibria. We choose as the simplest representative of each conjugacy class the isotropy group in which \( \sigma_{xz} \) appears in isolation. Four isotropy subgroups of order 4 are generated by picking \( \sigma_{xz} \) as the first generator, and \( \sigma_z, \sigma_x \tau_x, \sigma_z \tau_z, \) or \( \sigma_{xz} \tau_{xz} \) as the second generator (\( R \) for reflect-rotate):

\[
R = \{ e, \sigma_x, \sigma_z, \sigma_{xz} \} = \{ e, \sigma_{xz} \} \times \{ e, \sigma_z \}
\]

(3.11)

\[
R_x = \{ e, \sigma_x \tau_x, \sigma_z \tau_z, \sigma_{xz} \} = \{ e, \sigma_{xz} \} \times \{ e, \sigma_x \tau_z \}
\]

\[
R_z = \{ e, \sigma_x \tau_z, \sigma_z \tau_z, \sigma_{xz} \} = \{ e, \sigma_{xz} \} \times \{ e, \sigma_z \tau_z \}
\]

\[
R_{xz} = \{ e, \sigma_x \tau_{xz}, \sigma_z \tau_{xz}, \sigma_{xz} \} = \{ e, \sigma_{xz} \} \times \{ e, \sigma_z \tau_{xz} \} \cong S.
\]

These are the only isotropy groups of order 4 containing \( \sigma_{xz} \) and no isolated translation elements. Together with \( \{ e, \sigma_{xz} \} \), these 5 isotropy subgroups represent the 5 conjugacy classes in which expect to find equilibria.

The \( R_{xz} \) isotropy subgroup is particularly important, as the Nagata (1990) equilibria belong to this conjugacy class (Waleffe 1997; Clever & Busse 1997; Waleffe 2003), as do most of the solutions reported here. The NBC isotropy subgroup of Schmiegel (1999) and \( S \) of Gibson et al. (2008) are conjugate to \( R_{xz} \) under quarter-cell coordinate transformations. In keeping with previous literature, we often represent this conjugacy class with \( S = \{ e, s_1, s_2, s_3 \} = \{ e, \sigma_x \tau_x, \sigma_x \tau_{xz}, \sigma_{xz} \tau_z \} \) rather than the simpler conjugate group \( R_{xz} \). Schmiegel’s \( I \) isotropy group is conjugate to our \( R_z \); Schmiegel (1999) contains many examples of \( R_z \)-isotropic equilibria. \( R \)-isotropic equilibria were found by Tuckerman & Barkley (2002) for plane Couette flow in which the translation symmetries were broken by a streamwise ribbon. We have not searched for \( R_x \)-isotropic solutions, and are not aware of any published in the literature.

The remaining subgroups of orders 4 and 8 all involve \( \{ e, \tau_i \} \) factors and thus involve
states that are periodic on half-domains. For example, the isotropy subgroup of EQ₇ and EQ₈ studied below is $S \times \{ e, \tau_{xz} \} \simeq R \times \{ e, \tau_{xz} \}$, and thus these are doubled states of solutions on half-domains. For the detailed count of all 67 subgroups, see Halcrow (2008).

3.3. State-space visualization

GHC presents a method for visualizing low-dimensional projections of trajectories in the infinite-dimensional state space of the Navier-Stokes equations. Briefly, we construct an orthonormal basis \{e₁, e₂, \ldots, eₙ\} that spans a set of physically important fluid states $u_A, u_B, \ldots$, such as equilibrium states and their eigenvectors, and we project the evolving fluid state $\hat{u}(t) = u - \hat{y}_x$ onto this basis using the $L^2$ inner product (2.3). It is convenient to use differences from laminar flow, since \hat{u} forms a vector space with the laminar equilibrium at the origin, closed under addition. This produces a low-dimensional projection

$$a(t) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)(t), \quad a_n(t) = (\hat{u}(t), e_n),$$

which can be viewed in 2d planes $\{e_m, e_n\}$ or in 3d perspective views $\{e_x, e_m, e_n\}$. The state-space portraits are dynamically intrinsic, since the projections are defined in terms of intrinsic solutions of the equations of motion, and representation independent, since the inner product (2.3) projection is independent of the numerical or experimental representation of the fluid state data. Such bases are effective because moderate-Re turbulence explores a small repertoire of unstable coherent structures (rolls, streaks, their mergers), so that the trajectory $a(t)$ does not stray far from the subspace spanned by the key structures.

There is no a priori prescription for picking a ‘good’ set of basis fluid states, and construction of \{eₙ\} set requires some experimentation. Let the $S$-invariant subspace be the flow-invariant subspace of states $u$ that are fixed under $S$: this consists of all states whose isotropy group is $S$ or contains $S$ as a subgroup. The plane Couette system at hand has a total of 29 known equilibria within the $S$-invariant subspace four translated copies each of EQ₁, EQ₆, two translated copies of EQ₇ (which have an additional $\tau_{xz}$ symmetry), plus the laminar equilibrium EQ₀ at the origin. As shown in GHC, the dynamics of different regions of state space can be elucidated by projections onto basis sets constructed from combinations of equilibria and their eigenvectors.

In this paper we present global views of all invariant solutions in terms of the orthonormal ‘translational basis’ constructed in GHC from the four translated copies of EQ₂:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_x & \quad \tau_z & \quad \tau_{xz} \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
e_1 &= c_1(1 + \tau_x + \tau_z + \tau_{xz}) u_{EQ2} & + & + & + \\
e_2 &= c_2(1 + \tau_x - \tau_z - \tau_{xz}) u_{EQ2} & + & - & - \\
e_3 &= c_3(1 - \tau_x + \tau_z - \tau_{xz}) u_{EQ2} & - & + & - \\
e_4 &= c_4(1 - \tau_x - \tau_z + \tau_{xz}) u_{EQ2} & - & - & +,
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_n$ is a normalization constant determined by $\|e_n\| = 1$. The last 3 columns indicate the symmetry of the basis vector under half-cell translations; e.g. $\pm 1$ in the $\tau_x$ column implies $\tau_x e_j = \pm e_j$.

4. Equilibria and traveling waves of plane Couette flow

We seek equilibrium solutions to (2.1) of the form $u(x, t) = u_{EQ}(x)$ and traveling-wave or relative equilibrium solutions of the form $u(x, t) = u_{TW}(x - ct)$ with $c = (c_x, 0, c_z)$. 
Let $\mathbf{F}_{\text{NS}}(\mathbf{u})$ represent the Navier-Stokes equations (2.1) for the given geometry, boundary conditions, and Reynolds number, and $\mathbf{f}_{\text{NS}}^t$ its time-$t$ forward map

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{NS}}(\mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{f}_{\text{NS}}^t(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} + \int_0^t d\tau \mathbf{F}_{\text{NS}}(\mathbf{u}). \quad (4.1)$$

Then for any fixed $T > 0$, equilibria satisfy $\mathbf{f}^T(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} = 0$ and traveling waves satisfy $\mathbf{f}^T(\mathbf{u}) - \tau \mathbf{u} = 0$, where $\tau = \tau(c_x T, c_z T)$. When $\mathbf{u}$ is approximated with a finite spectral expansion and $\mathbf{f}^t$ with CFD algorithm, these equations become set of nonlinear equations in the expansion coefficients for $\mathbf{u}$ and, in the case of traveling waves, the wave velocities $(c_x, 0, c_z)$.

Viswanath (2007) presents an algorithm for computing solutions to these equations based on Newton search, Krylov subspace methods, and an adaptive ‘hookstep’ trust-region limitation to the Newton steps. This algorithm can provide highly accurate solutions from even poor initial guesses. The high accuracy stems from the use of Krylov subspace methods, which can be efficient with $10^5$ or more spectral expansion coefficients. The robustness with respect to initial guess stems from the hookstep algorithm.
The hookstep limitation restricts steps to a radius $r$ of estimated validity for the local linear approximation to the Newton equations. As $r$ increases from zero, the hookstep varies smoothly from the Krylov-subspace gradient direction to the Newton step, so that the hookstep algorithm behaves as a gradient descent when far away from a solution and as the Newton method when near, thus greatly increasing the algorithm’s region of convergence around solutions, compared to the Newton method (J.E. Dennis, Jr., & Schnabel 1996).

The choice of initial guesses for the search algorithm is one of the main differences between this study and previous calculations of equilibria and traveling waves of shear flows. Prior studies have used homotopy, that is, starting from a solution to a closely related problem and following it through small steps in parameter space to the problem of interest. Equilibria for plane Couette flow have been continued from Taylor-Couette flow (Nagata 1990), Rayleigh-Bénard flow (Clever & Busse 1997), and from plane Couette with imposed body forces (Waleffe 1997). Equilibria and traveling waves have also been found using “edge-tracking” algorithms, that is, by adjusting the magnitude of a perturbation of the laminar flow until it neither decays to laminar nor grows to turbulence, but instead converges toward a nearby weakly unstable solution (Skufca et al. 2006; Viswanath (2008); Schneider et al. (2008)). In this study, we take as initial guesses samples of velocity fields generated by long-time simulations of turbulent dynamics. The intent is to find the dynamically most important solutions, by sampling the turbulent flow’s natural measure.

We discretize $u$ with a spectral expansion of the form

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=-J}^{J} \sum_{k=-K}^{K} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{jkl} T_\ell(y) e^{2\pi i (jx/L_x + kz/L_z)},$$

where the $T_\ell$ are Chebyshev polynomials. Time integration of $f^t$ is performed with a primitive-variables Chebyshev-tau algorithm with tau correction, influence-matrix enforcement of boundary conditions, and third-order backwards differentiation time stepping, and dealiasing in $x$ and $z$ (Kleiser & Schuman (1980); Canuto et al. (1988); Peyret (2002)). We eliminate from the search space the linearly dependent spectral coefficients of $u$ that arise from incompressibility, boundary conditions, and complex conjugacies that arise from the real-valuedness of velocity fields. Our Navier-Stokes integrator, implementation of the Newton-hookstep search algorithm, and all solutions described in this paper are available for download from channelflow.org website (Gibson 2008a). For further details on the numerical methods see GHC and Halcrow (2008).

Solutions presented in this paper use spatial discretization (4.2) with $(J,K,L) = (15,15,32)$ (or $32 \times 33 \times 32$ gridpoints) and roughly 60k expansion coefficients. The estimated accuracy of each solution is listed in table 1. As is clear from Schmiegel (1999) Ph.D. thesis, ours is almost certainly an incomplete inventory; while for any finite $Re$, finite-aspect ratio cell the number of distinct equilibrium and traveling wave solutions is finite, we know of no way of determining or bounding this number. It is difficult to compare our solutions directly to those of Schmiegel since those solutions were computed in a $[4\pi,2,2\pi]$ cell (roughly twice our cell size in both span and streamwise directions) and with lower spatial resolution (2212 independent expansion functions versus our 60k for a cell of one-fourth the volume). We expect that many of Schmiegel’s equilibria could be continued to higher resolution and smaller cells.
4.1. Equilibrium solutions

Our primary focus is on the $S$-invariant subspace (3.3) of the $\Omega_{W03}$ cell at $Re = 400$. We initiated 28 equilibrium searches at evenly spaced intervals $\Delta t = 25$ along a trajectory in the unstable manifold of $EQ_4$ that exhibited turbulent dynamics for 800 nondimensionalized time units after leaving the neighborhood of $EQ_4$ and before decaying to laminar flow. The solutions are numbered in order of discovery, adjusted so that lower, upper branch solutions are labeled with consecutive numbers. $EQ_0$ is the laminar equilibrium, $EQ_1$ and $EQ_2$ are the Nagata lower and upper branch, and $EQ_4$ is the $u_{NB}$ solution reported in GHC. The rest are new. Only one of the 28 searches failed to converge onto an equilibrium; the successful searches converged to equilibria with frequencies listed in table 1. The higher frequency of occurrence of $EQ_1$ and $EQ_4$ suggests that these are the dynamically most important equilibria in the $S$-invariant subspace for the $\Omega_{W03}$ cell at $Re = 400$. Stability eigenvalues of known equilibria are plotted in figure 7. Tables of stability eigenvalues and other properties of these solutions are given in Halcrow (2008), while the images, movies and full data sets are available online at channelflow.org. All equilibrium solutions have zero spatial-mean pressure gradient, which was imposed in the flow conditions, and, due to their symmetry, zero mean velocity.

$EQ_1$, $EQ_2$ equilibria. This pair of solutions was discovered by Nagata (1990), recomputed by different methods by Clever & Busse (1997) and Waleffe (1998, 2003), and found multiple times in randomly initiated searches as described above. The lower branch $EQ_1$ and the upper branch $EQ_2$ are born together in a saddle-node bifurcation at $Re \approx 218.5$. Just above bifurcation, the two equilibria are connected by a $EQ_1 \rightarrow EQ_2$ heteroclinic connection, see Halcrow et al. (2008). However, at higher values of $Re$ there appears to be no such simple connection. The lower branch $EQ_1$ equilibrium is discussed in detail in Wang et al. (2007). This equilibrium has a 1-dimensional unstable manifold for a wide range of parameters. Its stable manifold appears to provide a partial barrier between the basin of attraction of the laminar state and turbulent states (Schneider et al. 2008). The upper branch $EQ_2$ has an 8-dimensional unstable manifold and a dissipation rate that is higher than the turbulent mean, see figure 8 (a). However, within the $S$-invariant subspace $EQ_2$ has just one pair of unstable complex eigenvalues. The two-dimensional $S$-invariant section of its unstable manifold was explored in some detail in GHC. It appears to bracket the upper end of turbulence in state space, as illustrated by figure 1.

$EQ_3$, $EQ_4$ equilibria. $EQ_4$ was found in GHC and is called $u_{NB}$ there. Its lower-branch partner $EQ_3$ was found by continuing $EQ_4$ downwards in $Re$ and also by independent searches from samples of turbulent data. $EQ_4$ is, with $EQ_1$, the most frequently found equilibrium, which attests to its importance in turbulent dynamics. Like $EQ_1$, $EQ_4$ serves as a gatekeeper between turbulent flow and the laminar basin of attraction. As shown in GHC, there is a heteroclinic connection from $EQ_4$ to $EQ_1$ resulting from a complex instability of $EQ_4$. Trajectories on one side of the heteroclinic connection decay rapidly to laminar flow; those on the other side take excursion towards turbulence.

$EQ_5$, $EQ_6$ equilibria. $EQ_5$ was found only once in our random searches, and its upper-branch partner $EQ_6$ only by continuation in Reynolds number. We were only able to continue $EQ_6$ up to $Re = 330$. At this value it is highly unstable, with a 19 dimensional unstable manifold, and it is far more dissipative than a typical turbulent trajectory.

$EQ_7$, $EQ_8$ equilibria. $EQ_7$ and $EQ_8$ appear together in a saddle node bifurcation in $Re$ (see §5). $EQ_7$ / $EQ_8$ might be the same as Schmiegel (1999)’s ‘$\sigma$ solutions’. The $x$-average velocity field plots appear very similar, as do the $D$ versus $Re$ bifurcation diagrams. We were not able to obtain Schmiegel’s data in order to make a direct comparison. In this cell, we were not able to continue $EQ_8$ past $Re = 270$. $EQ_7$ is both the closest...
state to laminar in terms of disturbance energy and the lowest in terms of drag. It has one strongly unstable real eigenvalue within the \( S \)-invariant subspace and two weakly unstable eigenvalues with \( \{ s_1, s_3 \} \) and \( \{ s_2, s_3 \} \) antisymmetries, respectively. In this regard, the EQ7 unstable manifold might, like the unstable manifold of EQ1, form part of the boundary between the laminar basin of attraction and turbulence. EQ7 and EQ8 are unique among the equilibria determined here in that they have the order-8 isotropy subgroup \( S \times \{ e, \tau_{xz} \} \) (see §3.2). The action of the quotient group \( G/(S \times \{ e, \tau_{xz} \}) \) yields

Figure 4. Equilibria EQ0 (laminar solution) through EQ11 in \( \Omega_{W03} \) cell. Plotting conventions are the same as figure 2. \( Re = 400 \) except for EQ6, \( Re = 330 \), and EQ8, \( Re = 270 \).
Figure 5. $x$-average of $\hat{u}(y, z)$ for equilibria EQ$_1$-EQ$_6$ in $\Omega_{N03}$ cell. Arrows indicate $[\hat{v}, \hat{w}]$, and the colormap indicates $\hat{u}$: red/blue is $\hat{u} = \pm 1$, green is $\hat{u} = 0$. All figures have the same scaling between arrow length and magnitude of $[\hat{v}, \hat{w}]$. Lower and upper-branch pairs are grouped together vertically, e.g. EQ$_1$, EQ$_3$ are a lower, upper branch pair. $Re = 400$ except for $Re = 330$ in EQ$_6$.

Figure 6. $x$-average of $\hat{u}(y, z)$ for equilibria EQ$_7$-EQ$_{11}$. Plotting conventions are the same as in figure 5. $Re = 400$ except for $Re = 270$ in EQ$_8$.

2 copies of each, plotted in figure 3. EQ$_7$ and EQ$_8$ are similar in appearance to EQ$_5$ and EQ$_6$, except for the additional symmetry.

EQ$_9$ equilibrium is a single lopsided roll-streak pair. It is produced by a pitchfork bifurcation from EQ$_4$ at $Re \approx 370$ as an $\{s_1, s_2\}$-antisymmetric eigenfunction goes through marginal stability (the only pitchfork bifurcation we have yet found) and remains close to EQ$_4$ at $Re = 400$. Thus, it has $\{e, \sigma_{xz}\}$ isotropy. Even though EQ$_9$ is not $S$-isotropic, we found it from a search initiated on a guess that was $S$-isotropic to single precision. Such small asymmetries were enough to draw the Newton-hookstep out of the $S$-invariant subspace.

EQ$_{10}$ / EQ$_{11}$ equilibria are produced in a saddle-node bifurcation at $Re \approx 348$ as a lower / upper branch pair, and they lie close to the center of mass of the turbulent repeller, see figure 8 (a). They look visually similar to typical turbulent states for this cell size. However, they are both highly unstable and unlikely to be revisited frequently by a generic turbulent fluid state. Their isotropy subgroup $\{e, \sigma_{xz}\}$ is order 2, so the action
4.2. Traveling waves

The first two traveling-wave solutions reported in the literature were found by Nagata (1997) by continuing EQ_1 equilibrium to a combined Couette / Poiseuille channel flow, and then continuing back to plane Couette flow. The result was a pair of streamwise traveling waves arising from a saddle-node bifurcation. Viswanath (2008) found two traveling waves, ‘D1’ and the same solution ‘D2,’’ but at a higher Re = 1000, through an edge-tracking algorithm (Skufca et al. (2006), see also §4.1). Here we verify Viswanath’s solution and present two new traveling-wave solutions computed as symmetry-breaking bifurcations off equilibrium solutions. We were not able to compare these to Nagata’s traveling waves since the data is not available. The traveling waves are shown as 3D velocity fields in figure 9 and as closed orbits in state space in figure 3. Their kinetic energies and dissipation rates are tabulated in table 1. Each traveling-wave solution has a zero spatial-mean pressure gradient but non-zero mean velocity in the same direction as the wave velocity. It is likely each solution could be continued to zero wave velocity but non-zero spatial-mean pressure gradient.

TW_1 traveling wave is s_2-isotropic and hence spanwise traveling. At Re = 400 its velocity is very small, \( c = 0.00655 \hat{z} \), and it has a small but nonzero mean velocity, also in the spanwise direction. This is a curious property: TW_1 induces bulk transport of fluid without a pressure gradient, and in a direction orthogonal to the motion of the
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Figure 8. Rate of energy input at the walls $I$ versus dissipation $D$, for the known equilibria and traveling waves in (a) $\Omega_{W03}$ (b) $\Omega_{HKW}$ cell at $Re = 400$. $\bigcirc$ EQ$_0$ is the laminar equilibrium with $D = I = 1$. In (a), $\bigcirc$ EQ$_1$, $\bigtriangleup$ EQ$_3$, $\blacksquare$ EQ$_4$, $\blacktriangleleft$ EQ$_7$, $\bigstar$ EQ$_9$, $\bigtriangledown$ TW$_1$, $\triangle$ TW$_2$, and $\triangle$ TW$_3$ are clustered in the range $1.25 < D = I < 1.55$, and $\bullet$ EQ$_2$, $\bigtriangledown$ EQ$_{10}$, $\bigtriangledown$ EQ$_{11}$, and $\bigtriangledown$ EQ$_{11}$ lie in $2 < D = I < 4$. In (b), the symbols are the same, and $\blacksquare$ EQ$_4$, $\bigstar$ EQ$_2$ are clustered together near $D = I \approx 2.47$.

Figure 9. Spanwise TW$_1$, streamwise TW$_2$ and TW$_3$ traveling waves in $\Omega_{W03}$ cell, $Re = 400$.

walls. TW$_1$ was found as a pitchfork bifurcation from EQ$_1$, and thus lies very close to it in state space. It is weakly unstable, with a 3D unstable manifold with two eigenvalues which are extremely close to marginal. In this sense TW$_1$ unstable manifold is nearly one-dimensional, and comparable to EQ$_1$.

TW$_2$ is a streamwise traveling wave found by Viswanath (2008) and called D1 there. It is $s_1$-isotropic, has a low dissipation rate, and a small but nonzero mean velocity in the streamwise direction. Viswanath provided data for this solution; we verified it with an independent numerical integrator and continued the solution to $\Omega_{W03}$ cell for comparison with the other traveling waves. In this cell TW$_2$ is fairly stable, with an eigenspectrum similar to TW$_1$'s, except with different symmetries.

TW$_3$ is an $s_1$-isotropic streamwise traveling wave with a relatively high wave velocity $c = 0.465 \hat{x}$ and a nonzero mean velocity in the streamwise direction. Its dissipation rate and energy norm are close to those of TW$_1$. 
Table 1. Properties of equilibrium solutions for Ω\textsubscript{W03} cell, Re = 400, unless noted otherwise. The mean values are ensemble and time averages over transient turbulence. \parallel·\parallel is the L\textsuperscript{2}-norm of the velocity deviation from laminar, E is the energy density (2.3), D is the dissipation rate, H is the isotropy subgroup, dim W\textsubscript{u} is the dimension of the equilibrium's unstable manifold or the number of its unstable eigenvalues, and dim W\textsubscript{u}\textsubscript{H} is the dimensionality of the unstable manifold within the H-invariant subspace, or the number of unstable eigenvalues with the same symmetries as the equilibrium. The accuracy acc. of the solution at a given resolution (a 32 × 32 × 32 grid) is estimated by the magnitude of the residual \parallel f\textsuperscript{T=1}(u) - u \parallel / \parallel u \parallel when the solution is interpolated and integrated at higher resolution (a 48 × 49 × 48 grid). The freq. column shows how many times a solution was found among the 28 searches initiated with samples of the natural measure within within the S-invariant subspace. See also figure 8 (a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EQ</th>
<th>\parallel·\parallel</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>dim W\textsubscript{u}</th>
<th>dim W\textsubscript{u}\textsubscript{H}</th>
<th>acc.</th>
<th>freq.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{0}</td>
<td>0.2091 0.1363 1.429</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>0.3858 0.0780 3.044</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.1259 0.1382 1.318</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0.1681 0.1243 1.454</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.2186 0.1073 2.020</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-3}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>330 0.2751 0.0972 2.818</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>0.0935 0.1469 1.252</td>
<td>S × {e,τ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{7}</td>
<td>0.3466 0.0853 3.672</td>
<td>S × {e,τ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{8}</td>
<td>0.1565 0.1290 1.404</td>
<td>{e,σ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{9}</td>
<td>0.3285 0.1080 2.373</td>
<td>{e,σ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.4049 0.0803 3.432</td>
<td>{e,σ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Properties of traveling-wave solutions for Ω\textsubscript{W03} cell, Re = 400, defined as in table 1, with wave velocity c and mean velocity. See also figure 8 (a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TW</th>
<th>\parallel·\parallel</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>dim W\textsubscript{u}</th>
<th>dim W\textsubscript{u}\textsubscript{H}</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>mean u</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TW\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>0.2214 0.1341 1.510</td>
<td>{e,σ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00655 \dot{z}</td>
<td>0.00482 \dot{z}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.1776 0.1533 1.306</td>
<td>{e,σ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3959 \dot{x}</td>
<td>0.0879 \dot{x}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0.2515 0.1520 1.534</td>
<td>{e,σ\textsubscript{zx}}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4646 \dot{x}</td>
<td>0.1532 \dot{x}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Bifurcations under Re

The relations between the equilibrium and traveling-wave solutions can be clarified by tracking their properties under changes in Re and cell size variations. Figure 10 shows a bifurcation diagram for equilibria and traveling waves in the Ω\textsubscript{W03} cell, with dissipation rate D plotted against Re as the bifurcation parameter. A number of independent solution curves are shown in superposition. This is a 2-dimensional projection from the ∞-dimensional state space, thus, unless noted otherwise, the apparent intersections of the solution curves do not represent bifurcations; rather, each curve is a family of solutions with an upper and lower branch, beginning with a saddle-node bifurcation at a critical Reynolds number.

The first saddle-node bifurcation gives birth to the Nagata lower branch EQ\textsubscript{1} and upper
branch EQ_2 equilibria, at Re \approx 218.5. EQ_1 has a single S-isotropic unstable eigenvalue (and additional subharmonic instabilities that break the S-isotropy of EQ_1). Shortly after bifurcation, EQ_2 has an unstable complex eigenvalue pair within the S-invariant subspace and two unstable real eigenvalues leading out of that space. As indicated by the gentle slopes of their bifurcation curves, the Nagata (1990) solutions are robust with respect to Reynolds number. The lower branch solution has been continued past Re = 10,000 and has a single unstable eigenvalue throughout this range (Wang et al. 2007).

EQ_3 and EQ_4 were discovered in independent Newton searches and subsequently found by continuation to be lower and upper branches of a saddle-node bifurcation occurring at Re \approx 364. EQ_3 has a leading unstable complex eigenvalue pair within the S-invariant subspace. Its remaining two unstable eigen-directions are nearly marginal and lead out of this space.

EQ_6 was found by continuing EQ_5 backwards in Re around the bifurcation point at Re \approx 326. We were not able to continue EQ_6 past Re = 330. At this point it has a nearly marginal stable pair of eigenvectors whose isotropy group is \Gamma, which rules out a bifurcation to traveling waves along these modes. Just beyond Re = 330 the dynamics in this region appears to be roughly periodic, suggesting that EQ_6 undergoes a supercritical Hopf bifurcation here. At Re \approx 348, EQ_{10} / EQ_{11} are born in a saddle node bifurcation, similar in character to the EQ_1 / EQ_2 bifurcation.

Figure 10(b) shows several symmetry-breaking bifurcations. At Re \approx 250, TW_1 bifurcates from EQ_1 in a subcritical pitchfork as an s_2-symmetric, s_1, s_3-antisymmetric eigenfunction of EQ_1 becomes unstable, resulting in a spanwise-moving traveling wave. At Re \approx 370, the EQ_9 equilibrium bifurcates off EQ_4 along an \sigma_x-symmetric, s_1, s_2-antisymmetric eigenfunction of EQ_4. Since \sigma_x symmetry fixes phase in both x and z, this solution bifurcates off EQ_4 as an equilibrium rather than a traveling wave.

6. Bifurcations under spanwise width L_z

In this section we examine changes in solutions under variation in spanwise periodicity. In particular, we are interested in connecting the solutions for \Omega_{W03} discussed in \S 4, to the wider \Omega_{HKW} cell of Hamilton et al. (1995), which empirically exhibits turbulence for long time scales at Re = 400. Figure 11 shows dissipation as a function of L_z. Of the equilibria discussed above, only EQ_4, EQ_5, and EQ_9 could be continued from \Omega_{W03} to \Omega_{HKW} at Re = 400. The other equilibria terminate in saddle-node bifurcations, or
Figure 11. Dissipation rate $D$ of equilibria for family of cells $\Omega(L_z) = [2\pi/1.14, 2, L_z]$ as function of (a) the spanwise cell width $L_z$ (b) wavenumber $\gamma = 2\pi/L_z$. The vertical dashed lines represent the $\Omega_{W03}$ cell at $L_z = 2.51$, $\gamma = 2.5$, and the vertical dotted line marks the $\Omega_{HKW}$ cell at $L_z = 3.76$, $\gamma = 1.67$. $\circ$ EQ$_1$, $\bullet$ EQ$_2$, $\square$ EQ$_3$, $\blacksquare$ EQ$_4$, $\Diamond$ EQ$_5$, $\triangleleft$ EQ$_6$, $\bullet$ EQ$_7$, $\circ$ EQ$_8$, $\triangledown$ EQ$_9$, $\blacklozenge$ EQ$_{10}$, $\blacktriangle$ EQ$_{11}$. The repeated solution curves at doubled values of $L_z$ indicate solutions of fundamental periodicity $L_z$ embedded in a cells with spanwise length $2L_z$. (see figure 12). Equilibria $\blacklozenge$ EQ$_6$, $\blacktriangle$ EQ$_8$ do not exist at $Re = 400$. We did not attempt to continue TW$_1$, TW$_2$, or TW$_3$ in $L_z$.

Figure 12. Equilibria in the $\Omega_{HKW}$ cell of Hamilton et al. (1995), $Re = 400$. EQ$_4$, EQ$_7$, EQ$_9$, and the spanwise-doubled equilibrium solutions $2 \times$ EQ$_1$ and $2 \times$ EQ$_2$.

bifurcate into pairs of traveling waves in pitchfork bifurcations. EQ$_1$ and EQ$_2$ exist in $\Omega_{HKW}$ as spanwise doubled $2 \times$ EQ$_1$ and $2 \times$ EQ$_2$ in $z$. We insert 2 copies of the EQ$_1$ / EQ$_2$ solution for $\Omega_{W03}$, with $L_z \approx 2.51$, into a cell with $L_z \approx 5.02$, and then continue these solutions down to the $\Omega_{HKW}$ width $L_z = 3.76$. Figure 12 shows 3D velocity fields for all of these equilibria in $\Omega_{HKW}$: EQ$_4$, EQ$_7$, and EQ$_9$ and the spanwise doubled $2 \times$ EQ$_1$ and $2 \times$ EQ$_2$. Their properties are listed in table 6.

In the $\Omega_{HKW}$ cell EQ$_4$ is extremely unstable, with a 39 dimensional unstable manifold. In physical space it has 4 distinct streaks, see figure 12. Owing to its near $\tau_z$ symmetry, many of its eigenvalues appear in sets of two nearly identical complex pairs.

The low dissipation values of the $\Omega_{HKW}$ equilibria figure 8 (b) suggest that they are not involved in turbulent dynamics, except perhaps as gatekeepers to the laminar equilib-
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|       | || || | E     | D     | H     | dim \(W^n\) | dim \(W^n_H\) |
|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| mean  | 0.40 | 0.15 | 3.0   |       |       |       |        |        |
| EQ₀   | 0    | 0.1667 | 1    | \(\Gamma\) | 0 | 0   |        |        |
| 2×EQ₁ | 0.2458 | 0.1112 | 1.8122 | \(S \times \{e, \tau_3\}\) | 5 | 0   |        |        |
| 2×EQ₂ | 0.3292 | 0.0905 | 2.4842 | \(S \times \{e, \tau_3\}\) | 6 | 0   |        |        |
| EQ₄   | 0.2853 | 0.0992 | 2.4625 | \(S\) | 40 | 13  |        |        |
| EQ₇   | 0.1261 | 0.1433 | 1.3630 | \(S \times \{e, \tau_{xz}\}\) | 6 | 2   |        |        |
| EQ₉   | 0.3159 | 0.1175 | 2.0900 | \{e, \sigma_{xz}\} | 11 | 0   |        |        |

Table 3. Properties of equilibrium and traveling wave solutions for \(\Omega_{HKW}\) cell, \(Re = 400\), defined as in table 1. See also figure 8 (b).

...We suspect that the equilibria as yet undiscovered, or the already known periodic orbit solutions (Gibson et al. 2008a) do play a key role in organizing turbulent dynamics. However, unlike the \(\Omega_{W03}\) cell, we were not able to find any equilibria for \(\Omega_{HKW}\) cell from initial guesses sampled from long-time turbulent trajectories within the \(S\)-invariant sub-space. This is curious, contrasted to our success in finding equilibria from such guesses in \(\Omega_{W03}\), and suggests that the aspect ratios of the \(\Omega_{HKW}\) cell are the most incommensurate (fit the intrinsic widths of rolls least well) compared to the roll and streak scales of spanwise-infinite domains, which are apparent (approximately) in the simulation of figure 2.

7. Conclusion and perspectives

As a turbulent flow evolves, every so often we catch a glimpse of a familiar pattern. For any finite spatial resolution, the flow approximately follows for a finite time a pattern belonging to a finite alphabet of admissible fluid states, represented here by a set of exact equilibrium and traveling wave solutions of Navier-Stokes. These are not the ‘modes’ of the fluid, in the sense that they would offer a lower-dimensional basis set to represent the flow with, and they are not a decomposition of the flow into a sum of different wavelength scale components; each solution spans the whole range of physical scales of the turbulent fluid, from the outer wall-to-wall scale, down to the Kolmogorov viscous dissipation scale. Numerical computations have to be carried out in a DNS representation of sufficient resolution to cover all of these scales, so no dimensional reduction is likely, beyond the improvement of numerical codes. The role of exact invariant solutions of Navier-Stokes is, instead, to partition the \(\infty\)-dimensional state space into a finite set of neighborhoods visited by a typical long-time turbulent fluid state.

Motivated by the recent observations of recurrent coherent states in experiments and numerical studies, we undertook here an exploration of the hierarchy of all known exact equilibria and traveling waves of fully-resolved plane Couette flow in order to describe the spatio-temporally chaotic dynamics of transitionally turbulent fluid flows. Turbulent plane Couette dynamics visualized in state space appears pieced together from close visitations to exact coherent states connected by transient interludes, as can be seen in Gibson (2008b) animations of figure 2. The 3D fluid states explored by the small cell aspect ratio equilibria and their unstable manifolds studied in this paper are strikingly similar to states observed in larger aspect cells, such as figure 2.

For plane Couette flow equilibria, traveling waves and periodic solutions embody a vision of turbulence as a repertoire of recurrent spatio-temporal patterns explored by turbulent dynamics. The new equilibria and traveling waves that we present here form...
the backbone of this repertoire. Currently, a taxonomy of these myriad states eludes us, but emboldened by successes in applying periodic orbit theory to the simpler, warm-up Kuramoto-Sivashinsky problem (Christiansen et al. 1997; Lan & Cvitanović 2008; Cvitanović et al. 2008), we are optimistic. Given a set of equilibria, the next step is to understand how the dynamics interconnects the neighborhoods of the invariant solutions discovered so far; a task that we address in Halcrow et al. (2008) which discusses their heteroclinic inter-connections, and Gibson et al. (2008a) which discusses their periodic orbit solutions.

The reader might rightfully wonder what the small-aspect periodic cells studied here have to do with physical plane Couette flow and wall-bounded shear flows in general, with large aspect ratios and physical spanwise-streamwise boundary conditions. Indeed, the outstanding issue that must be addressed in future work is the small-aspect cell periodicities imposed for computational efficiency. So far, most computations of invariant solutions have focused on spanwise-streamwise (axial-streamwise in case of the pipe flow) periodic cells barely large enough to allow for sustained turbulence. Such small cells introduce dynamical artifacts such as lack of structural stability and cell-size dependence of the sustained turbulence states. However, every solution that we find is also a solution of the infinite aspect-ratio problem, i.e., a solution whose finite $[L_x, 2, L_z]$ cell tiles the infinite 3D plane Couette flow. As we saw in §6, under a continuous variation of spanwise length $L_z$ such solutions come in continuous families whose fundamental wavelengths reflect the roll and streak instability scales observed in large-aspect systems such as figure 2. Here we can draw the inspiration from pattern-formation theory, where the most unstable wavelengths from a continuum of unstable solutions set the scales observed in simulations.
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